arXiv:1111.4030v1 [math.AG] 17 Nov 2011

Polynomial mappings into a Stiefel manifold and immersions

Iwona Krzyżanowska Zbigniew Szafraniec

November 2011

Abstract

For a polynomial mapping from S^{n-k} to the Stiefel manifold $\widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $n-k$ is even, there is presented an effective method of expressing the corresponding element of the homotopy group $\pi_{n-k}\widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ in terms of signatures of quadratic forms. There is also given a method of computing the intersection number for a polynomial immersion $S^m \to \mathbb{R}^{2m}$.

1 Introduction

Mappings from a sphere into a Stiefel manifold are a natural object of study in topology. Denote by $\widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the non-compact Stiefel manifold, i.e. the set of all k–frames in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and take a polynomial mapping $\alpha : S^{n-k} \to \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $n-k$ is even then the homotopy group $\pi_{n-k}\widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the integer associated with α.

In Sections 2 we show that $\Lambda(\alpha)$ is equal to the topological degree of some associated mapping $\widetilde{\alpha} : S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

In Section 3 we prove that one may express $\Lambda(\alpha)$ in terms of signatures of two quadratic forms (Theorem 3.9), even in the case where S^{n-k} is replaced

---

Iwona Krzyżanowska and Zbigniew Szafraniec
University of Gdańsk, Institute of Mathematics
80-952 Gdańsk, Wita Stwosza 57, Poland
Email: Iwona.Krzyzanowska@mat.ug.edu.pl
Email: Zbigniew.Szafraniec@mat.ug.edu.pl

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: MSC 14P25, MSC 57R42
Keywords: Stiefel manifolds, Immersions, Quadratic forms

Supported by National Science Centre, grant 6093/B/H03/2011/40

by a compact algebraic hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$. These signatures may be computed using computer algebra systems. Examples presented in this paper were calculated with the help of SINGULAR [3].

Assume that m is even, $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is a compact algebraic m–dimensional hypersurface, and $g : M \to \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ is an immersion. Whitney in [10] introduced the intersection number $I(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the case where $M = S^m$, Smale in [8] constructed a mapping $\alpha' : S^m \to \widetilde{V}_m(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$ such that $I(g) = \Lambda(\alpha')$. Unfortunately, if g is a polynomial immersion then α' is not a polynomial mapping, so one cannot apply previous results in order to compute $I(g)$.

In Section 4 we show how to construct a polynomial mapping $\alpha : M \to \widetilde{V}_{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^{2m+1})$ such that $I(g) = -\Lambda(\alpha)$ (Theorem 4.6). Therefore $I(g)$ can be expressed and computed in terms of signatures.

Another formula expressing $I(g)$ in terms of signatures of quadratic forms, inspired by the original definition by Whitney, was presented in [5] and generalized in [6] to the case where M may have singularities. Calculations done with the help of a computer show that the method presented in this paper is significantly more effective.

2 Mappings into a Stiefel manifold

If M, N are closed oriented n–manifolds and $f : M \longrightarrow N$ continuous, then by $\deg(f)$ we denote the topological degree of f. If $(M, \partial M)$ is a compact oriented n–manifold with boundary and $f : (M, \partial M) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is continuous, then by $\deg(f|\partial M)$ we denote the topological degree of $f/|f| : \partial M \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$.

Let $n - k > 0$ be an even number and $k > 1$. Denote by $\widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all k–frames in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and by $V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Stiefel manifold, i.e. the set of all orthonormal k–frames in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The Stiefel manifold is a deformation retract of $\widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $\pi_{n-k} V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \pi_{n-k} \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is known (see [4]), that $\pi_{n-k} V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n-k} V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be represented by $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\alpha_i : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\alpha_1(x), \ldots, \alpha_k(x)$ are linearly independent, we can define $\widetilde{\alpha} : S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(\beta, x) = \beta_1 \alpha_1(x) + \ldots + \beta_k \alpha_k(x),$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1 \ldots, \beta_k) \in S^{k-1}$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k+1}) \in S^{n-k}$.

Lemma 2.1 *The mapping $\widetilde{\alpha}$ goes into S^{n-1}.*

Proof. We know that $|\beta| = 1$, $|\alpha_i(x)| = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and the scalar products $\langle \alpha_i(x), \alpha_j(x) \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then

$$|\widetilde{\alpha}(\beta, x)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \beta_i \beta_j \langle \alpha_i(x), \alpha_j(x) \rangle = 1.$$

$\square$

We got $\widetilde{\alpha} : S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$, so the topological degree $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha})$ is defined.

For $\alpha : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we also have $\widetilde{\alpha} : S^{k-1} \times S^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\widetilde{\alpha}/|\widetilde{\alpha}| : S^{k-1} \times S^{n-1} \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$, and $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}/|\widetilde{\alpha}|)$ is well defined. Let $r : \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the retraction given by the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization. Then $\alpha^t = (1-t)\alpha + t \cdot r \circ \alpha : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a homotopy between α and $r \circ \alpha$. Hence $\widetilde{\alpha}^t/|\widetilde{\alpha}^t| : S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$ is a homotopy between $\widetilde{\alpha}/|\widetilde{\alpha}|$ and $\widetilde{r \circ \alpha}$, so that $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}/|\widetilde{\alpha}|) = \deg(\widetilde{r \circ \alpha})$.

Lemma 2.2 *If* $\alpha^0, \alpha^1 : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *represent the same element in* $\pi_{n-k} V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *then* $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}^0) = \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}^1)$.

Proof. There is a homotopy $\alpha^t = (\alpha_1^t, \ldots, \alpha_k^t) : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ between α^0 and α^1. Then

$$\widetilde{\alpha}^t(\beta, x) = \beta_1 \alpha_1^t(x) + \ldots + \beta_k \alpha_1^t(x) : S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$$

is a homotopy between $\widetilde{\alpha}^0$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}^1$, and so $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}^0) = \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}^1)$.

$\square$

Example. The trivial element $[e] \in \pi_{n-k} V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is represented by a constant mapping $e(x) = (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \in V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\widetilde{e}(\beta, x) = \beta_1 v_1 + \ldots + \beta_k v_k$ is not onto S^{n-1}, so $\deg(\widetilde{e}) = 0$.

Proposition 2.3 *For all* $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n-k} V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha})$ *is an even number.*

Proof. Let $M_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of all k–tuples of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. According to [2, Proposition 5.3], $\Sigma_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = M_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an algebraic subset of $M_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{codim} \Sigma_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = n - k + 1$.

Take $\alpha : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There is a homotopy $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_k) : [0,1] \times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow M_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ between α and e given by $h(t,x) = te(x) + (1-t)\alpha(x)$. Put $h' = (h_2, \ldots, h_k) : [0,1] \times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow M_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. According to the Elementary Transversality Theorem [2, Corollary 4.12], there is g'

arbitrarily close to h' such that g' intersects $\Sigma_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ transversally. As $\operatorname{codim}\Sigma_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = n-k+2 > \dim([0,1]\times S^{n-k})$, so $(g')^{-1}(\Sigma_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \emptyset$ and then $g' : [0,1]\times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Put $g = (g_1,\ldots,g_k) = (h_1, g')$. We can choose g' such that $g(0,\cdot) : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homotopic to α and $g(1,\cdot) : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homotopic to e. Put $\widetilde{g} : [0,1]\times S^{k-1}\times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ as $\widetilde{g}(t,\beta,x) = \beta_1 g_1(t,x) + \ldots + \beta_k g_k(t,x)$.

We know that $g_2(t,x),\ldots,g_k(t,x)$ are linearly independent, so $\widetilde{g}(t,(0,\beta'),x) \neq 0$ for $\beta = (0,\beta') \in \{0\}\times S^{k-2}$. Hence

$$\widetilde{g}^{-1}(0) \cap ([0,1]\times(\{0\}\times S^{k-2})\times S^{n-k}) = \emptyset.$$

Put $H_\pm = \{\beta \in S^{k-1} | \ \pm\beta_1 > 0\}$, and $M_\pm = [0,1]\times H_\pm \times S^{n-k}$. Then $\widetilde{g}^{-1}(0) \subset M_- \cup M_+$. Of course $i(t,\beta,x) = (t,-\beta,x)$ is a free involution on $[0,1]\times S^{k-1}\times S^{n-k}$, such that

$$\widetilde{g}^{-1}(0) \cap M_- = i(\widetilde{g}^{-1}(0)\cap M_+).$$

We also have $\widetilde{g}^{-1}(0) \cap \{0,1\}\times S^{k-1}\times S^{n-k} = \emptyset$. So there exist two n–dimensional compact manifolds with boundary $N_\pm \subset \operatorname{int}(M_\pm)$ such that $\widetilde{g}(0) \subset \operatorname{int}(N_-) \cup \operatorname{int}(N_+)$ and $N_- = i(N_+)$. By the Excision Theorem,

$$\deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial([0,1]\times S^{k-1}\times S^{n-k})) = \deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial N_-) + \deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial N_+).$$

It is easy to check that $i : N_+ \longrightarrow N_-$ preserves the orientation when k is even, and reverses it when k is odd. We have

$$\widetilde{g}|\partial N_+ = -\widetilde{g}\circ i|\partial N_+ = -id|S^{n-1}\circ \widetilde{g}|\partial N_- \circ i|\partial N_+.$$

Of course $\deg(-id|S^{n-1}) = (-1)^n$. Hence

$$\deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial N_+) = (-1)^n(-1)^k\deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial N_-) = (-1)^{n+k}\deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial N_-) = \deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial N_-).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} &\deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial([0,1]\times S^{k-1}\times S^{n-k})) \\ &= \deg(\widetilde{g}|(\{0\}\times S^{k-1}\times S^{n-k})) + \deg(\widetilde{g}|(\{1\}\times S^{k-1}\times S^{n-k})) \\ &= \deg(\widetilde{e}) - \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}) = -\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

To sum up, we get that $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}) = -2\deg(\widetilde{g}|\partial N_-)$, so $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha})$ is even.

□

Let us define $\Lambda : \pi_{n-k}V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $\Lambda([\alpha]) = \deg(\widetilde{\alpha})/2$. According to Proposition 2.3, Λ is well defined.

Proposition 2.4 *The mapping Λ is a group homomorphism.*

Proof. Take $[\alpha_0], [\alpha_1] \in \pi_{n-k}V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, represented by smooth maps such that $\alpha_0(x_0) = \alpha_1(x_0) = y_0$. The sum $[\alpha_0] + [\alpha_1]$ in $\pi_{n-k}V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is represented by the composition $(\alpha_0 \vee \alpha_1) \circ c : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow S^{n-k} \vee S^{n-k} \longrightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where c collapses the equator S^{n-k-1} in S^{n-k} to a point, x_0 lies in S^{n-k-1} and $S^{n-k} \vee S^{n-k}$ is the disjoint union of S^{n-k} and S^{n-k} with the identification $x_0 \sim x_0$. Then $\widetilde{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1} : S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k} \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$. It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}(S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k-1})$ is not dense in S^{n-1}. So there is a regular value $y \in S^{n-1}$ such that $\widetilde{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}^{-1}(y) \cap (S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k-1}) = \emptyset$. Then $\widetilde{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}^{-1}(y)$ is the disjoint union of $\widetilde{\alpha}_0^{-1}(y)$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_1^{-1}(y)$, so $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}) = \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}_0) + \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}_1)$.

□

Proposition 2.5 *The mapping Λ is surjective.*

Proof. Let us define a mapping $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) : S^{n-k} \longrightarrow V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\alpha_i(x) = (0, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, with the 1 in the i–th coordinate for $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, and $\alpha_k = (0, \ldots, 0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k+1})$. Then

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(\beta, x) = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}, \beta_k x_1, \ldots, \beta_k x_{n-k}, \beta_k x_{n-k+1}) \in S^{n-1}.$$

It is easy to check that $\widetilde{\alpha}^{-1}(0, \ldots, 0, 1) = \{(\beta, x) |\ \beta_1 = \ldots = \beta_{k-1} = x_1 = \ldots = x_{n-k} = 0, \beta_k = x_{n-k+1} = \pm 1\}$. Projection onto first $(n-1)$–coordinates in a neighbourhood of $(0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in S^{n-1}$ is an orientation preserving chart if and only if n is odd. Near $(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k}$ we have an orientation preserving parametrization given by

$$(\beta', x') = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k}) \longmapsto$$

$$\left((-1)^{k-1}\beta_1, \beta_2 \ldots, \beta_{k-1}, \sqrt{1 - |\beta'|^2}, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k}, \sqrt{1 - |x'|^2}\right).$$

It is easy to check that in these coordinates the derivative matrix of $\widetilde{\alpha}$ at $(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{k-1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Near $(0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0,-1) \in S^{k-1} \times S^{n-k}$ we have an orientation preserving parametrization

$$(\beta', x') = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k}) \longmapsto$$
$$\left((-1)^k \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}, -\sqrt{1-|\beta'|^2}, -x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-k}, -\sqrt{1-|x'|^2}\right).$$

It is easy to check that the derivative matrix of $\widetilde{\alpha}$ at $(0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0,-1)$ in these coordinates has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} (-1)^k & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 & \ldots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $(0,\ldots,0,1)$ is a regular value of $\widetilde{\alpha}$, and $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}) = (-1)^{n-1}((-1)^{k-1} + (-1)^k(-1)^{n-k-1}) = 2$, so $\Lambda(\alpha) = 1$. By Proposition 2, Λ is surjective.

□

Theorem 2.6 *If $n-k$ is even then $\Lambda : \pi_{n-k}V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $\pi_{n-k}V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, the surjective homomorphism Λ is an isomorphism. □

Let M be a closed oriented $(n-k)$–manifold. With any $\alpha : M \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we may associate the same way as above the mapping $\widetilde{\alpha} : S^{k-1} \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ given by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(\beta, x) = \beta_1\alpha_1(x) + \ldots + \beta_k\alpha_k(x).$$

Then the topological degree of $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is well defined. Applying the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, one can prove

Theorem 2.7 *Let $\alpha : M \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be continuous, where $n-k$ is even. Then $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha})$ is even, and so $\Lambda(\alpha) = \deg(\widetilde{\alpha})/2$ is an integer. If $\alpha^0, \alpha^1 : M \to \widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are homotopic, then $\Lambda(\alpha^0) = \Lambda(\alpha^1)$.*

□

3 Polynomial mappings into a Stiefel manifold

If U is an open subset of an n-dimensional oriented manifold, $H : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and $p \in H^{-1}(0)$ is isolated in $H^{-1}(0)$, then by $\deg_p H$ we denote the local topological degree of H at p. If $H^{-1}(0)$ is compact, then there exists a compact manifold with boundary $N \subset U$ such that $H^{-1}(0) \subset \operatorname{int}(N)$. If that is the case then the topological degree $\deg(H, U, 0)$ is defined as the degree of the mapping $\partial N \ni x \mapsto H(x)/|H(x)| \in S^{n-1}$. In particular, if $H^{-1}(0)$ is finite then $\deg(H, U, 0) = \sum \deg_p H$, where $p \in H^{-1}(0)$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) : \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1} \longrightarrow M_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a polynomial mapping. Denote by $[a_{ij}(x)]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, the matrix in which $\alpha_j(x)$ stands in the j–th column. Define

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(\beta, x) = \beta_1\alpha_1(x) + \ldots + \beta_k\alpha_k(x) = [a_{ij}(x)] \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By I we denote the ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k+1}]$ generated by all $k \times k$ minors of $[a_{ij}(x)]$. Let $V(I) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1} \mid h(x) = 0 \text{ for all } h \in I\}$.

Lemma 3.1 *We have $p \in V(I)$ if and only if $\alpha_1(p), \ldots, \alpha_k(p)$ are linearly dependent, i.e. if $\widetilde{\alpha}(\beta, p) = 0$ for some $\beta \neq 0$.*

□

Let I_1 be the ideal generated by all $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ minors of $[a_{ij}(x)]$. Put

$$m(x) = \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{12}(x) & \ldots & a_{1k}(x) \\ & & \\ a_{k-1,2}(x) & \ldots & a_{k-1,k}(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.2 *We have $V(I) \backslash V(I_1) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}[\alpha_1(p), \ldots, \alpha_k(p)] = k - 1$ at each $p \in V(I)$.*

If that is the case and $V(I)$ is finite then one may choose well oriented coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $m(p) \neq 0$ at each $p \in V(I)$.

□

From now on we assume that $m(p) \neq 0$ at each $p \in V(I)$. Hence, if $\alpha_1(p), \ldots, \alpha_k(p)$ are linearly dependent then $\alpha_2(p), \ldots, \alpha_k(p)$ are linearly independent. In that case there exists a uniquely determined $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{\lambda}_2, \ldots, \bar{\lambda}_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ such that $\alpha_1(p) + \bar{\lambda}_2\alpha_2(p) + \ldots + \bar{\lambda}_k\alpha_k(p) = 0$. Therefore we have

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose that* $p \in V(I)$. *Then there is a uniquely determined* $\bar{\beta} \in H_+ = S^{k-1} \cap \{\beta_1 > 0\}$ *such that* $\widetilde{\alpha}(\bar{\beta}, p) = \bar{\beta}_1\alpha_1(p) + \bar{\beta}_2\alpha_2(p) + \ldots + \bar{\beta}_k\alpha_k(p) = 0$, *and* $\bar{\lambda}_2 = \bar{\beta}_2/\bar{\beta}_1, \ldots, \bar{\lambda}_k = \bar{\beta}_k/\bar{\beta}_1$.

□

Note that

$$H_+ \ni (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k) \mapsto (\beta_2/\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k/\beta_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$$

is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism. For $\lambda = (\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ and $1 \le i \le n$ we define $F_i(\lambda, x) = a_{i1}(x) + \lambda_2 a_{i2}(x) + \ldots + \lambda_k a_{ik}(x)$. Then

$$F = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) = [a_{ij}(x)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Lemma 3.4 *A point* $(\bar{\beta}, p) \in H_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$ *is an isolated zero of* $\widetilde{\alpha}|(H_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1})$ *if and only if* $(\bar{\lambda}, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$ *is an isolated zero of* F. *If that is the case then*

$$\deg_{(\bar{\beta},p)}(\widetilde{\alpha}|(H_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1})) = \deg_{(\bar{\lambda},p)}(F).$$

□

In such case
$$\frac{\partial(F_1, \ldots, F_{k-1})}{\partial(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)}(\bar{\lambda}, p) = m(p) \neq 0,$$

so $\partial(F_1, \ldots, F_{k-1})/\partial(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k) \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood of $(\bar{\lambda}, p)$. According to the Cramer rule, there exists a uniquely determined $\lambda(x) = (\lambda_2(x), \ldots, \lambda_k(x))$ defined in a neighbourhood of p, such that

$$\begin{cases} F_1(\lambda(x), x) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ F_{k-1}(\lambda(x), x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1) \qquad \lambda_i(x) = \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{m(x)} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}(x) & \ldots & \widehat{a_{1,i}(x)} & \ldots & a_{1k}(x) \\ & \ldots & & \ldots & \\ a_{k-1,1}(x) & \ldots & \widehat{a_{k-1,i}(x)} & \ldots & a_{k-1,k}(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq k$.

Denote $\Gamma = \{(\lambda(x), x)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$, of course Γ is an $(n-k+1)$–manifold near $(\bar{\lambda}, p)$. On Γ we take the orientation induced by equations $F_1 = \ldots = F_{k-1} = 0$, i.e. vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k+1}$ tangent to Γ at $(\lambda(x), x)$ are well oriented if and only if

$$\nabla F_1(\lambda(x), x), \ldots, \nabla F_{k-1}(\lambda(x), x), v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k+1}$$

are well oriented in $\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$. One can see that vectors

$$v_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}(\lambda(x), x) = \Big(\frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial x_s}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial x_s}, 0, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots 0\Big),\ 1 \leq s \leq n-k+1,$$

with the 1 in the $(k+s-1)$–th coordinate, are tangent to Γ. Their orientation corresponds to the standard orientation of an $\mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$

Lemma 3.5 *Vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k+1}$ are well oriented if and only if $m(p) > 0$.*

Proof. We have $F_i(\lambda(x), x) \equiv 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, so

$$0 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} F_i(\lambda(x), x)$$

$$= a_{i2}(x)\frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial x_s}(x) + \ldots + a_{ik}(x)\frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial x_s}(x) + \frac{\partial a_{i1}}{\partial x_s}(x) + \lambda_2(x)\frac{\partial a_{i2}}{\partial x_s}(x) + \ldots + \lambda_k(x)\frac{\partial a_{ik}}{\partial x_s}(x).$$

Denote by A the Gramian matrix of vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k+1}$. It is easy to see that the determinant of the matrix having rows $\nabla F_1(\lambda(x), x), \ldots, \nabla F_{k-1}(\lambda(x), x)$, $v_1(x), \ldots, v_{n-k+1}(x)$ equals

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{12}(x) & \ldots & a_{1k}(x) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k-1,2}(x) & \ldots & a_{k-1,k}(x) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ & * & & & A & \end{bmatrix} = m(x) \det A.$$

Vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k+1}$ are linearly independent, so $\det A > 0$. We get that $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k+1}$ are well oriented in Γ if and only if $m(x) > 0$. □

Denote by $\mathcal{O}_n$ the ring of germs at $(\bar{\lambda}, p)$ of analytic functions $\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ the ring of germs at $(\bar{\lambda}, p)$ of analytic functions $\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and by $\mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}$ the ring of germs at p of analytic functions

$\mathbb{R}^{n-k+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since $\partial(F_1, \ldots, F_{k-1})/\partial(\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)(\bar{\lambda}, p) \neq 0$, so there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_n/\langle F_1, \ldots, F_{k-1}\rangle.$$

Put $\Omega_i(x) = F_i(\lambda(x), x) \in \mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}$. Because Γ is the graph of $x \mapsto \lambda(x)$, so $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}$ and

$$\mathcal{O}_n/\langle F_1, \ldots, F_{k-1}, F_k, \ldots, F_n\rangle \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Gamma/\langle F_k, \ldots, F_n\rangle \simeq \mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}/\langle \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n\rangle.$$

We have $m(p) \neq 0$, so the germ of m is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_n$, $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ and $\mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}$. Denote by J the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}$ generated by all $k \times k$ minors of $[a_{ij}(x)]$.

Lemma 3.6 *$\mathcal{O}_n/\langle F_1, \ldots, F_n\rangle \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Gamma/\langle F_k, \ldots, F_n\rangle \simeq \mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}/J$.*

Proof. Take $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n$. Of course $\Omega_{i_1} = \ldots = \Omega_{i_k} = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}/\langle \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n\rangle$, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} a_{i_1,1}(x) + \lambda_2(x)a_{i_1,2}(x) + \ldots + \lambda_k(x)a_{i_1,k}(x) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_k,1}(x) + \lambda_2(x)a_{i_k,2}(x) + \ldots + \lambda_k(x)a_{i_k,k}(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

According to the Cramer rule,

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{i_1,1}(x) & \ldots & a_{i_1,k}(x) \\ & \ldots & \\ a_{i_k,1}(x) & \ldots & a_{i_k,k}(x) \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}/\langle \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n\rangle.$$

Each generator of J belongs to $\langle \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n\rangle$, so $J \subset \langle \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n\rangle$.

Applying (1) one may show

$$\Omega_i(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{m(x)} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}(x) & \ldots & a_{1k}(x) \\ & \ldots & \\ a_{k-1,1}(x) & \ldots & a_{k-1,k}(x) \\ a_{i1}(x) & \ldots & a_{ik}(x) \end{bmatrix} =: \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{m(x)} \Delta_i(x).$$

In particular, germs of $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}$ belong to J. Of course $\Omega_1 \equiv 0, \ldots, \Omega_{k-1} \equiv 0$. So $\langle \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n\rangle = \langle \Omega_k, \ldots, \Omega_n\rangle = J$, and there are natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_n/\langle F_1, \ldots, F_n\rangle \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Gamma/\langle F_k, \ldots, F_n\rangle \simeq \mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}/\langle \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n\rangle \simeq \mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}/J.$$

□

From the previous proof we also get

Lemma 3.7 *$\mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}/J \simeq \mathcal{O}_{n-k+1}/\langle \Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n \rangle$.*

□

Lemma 3.8 *If $n-k$ is even and $\partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)/\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})(p) \neq 0$, then*

$$\deg_{(\bar{\lambda},p)}(F) = (-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{sgn} \frac{\partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})}(p).$$

Proof. We have $\Omega_i(x)m(x) = (-1)^{k-1}\Delta_i(x)$ so

$$\frac{\partial \Omega_i}{\partial x_s} m + \Omega_i \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_s} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\partial \Delta_i}{\partial x_s}.$$

As $\Omega_i \in J$, then

$$\frac{\partial \Omega_i}{\partial x_s} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{m} \frac{\partial \Delta_i}{\partial x_s} \quad \mod J.$$

Because $n-k$ is even, so

$$\frac{\partial(\Omega_k, \dots, \Omega_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{m^{n-k+1}} \frac{\partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})} \quad \mod J.$$

Since $\partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)/\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})(p) \neq 0$, the mapping $(\Omega_k, \dots, \Omega_n)$ has an isolated regular zero at p, moreover

$$\deg_p(\Omega_k, \dots, \Omega_n) = (-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{sgn}(m(p)) \operatorname{sgn} \frac{\partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})}(p).$$

So the local topological degree of $(F_k, \dots, F_n) : (\Gamma, (\bar{\lambda}, p)) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}, 0)$ at $(\bar{\lambda}, p)$ equals

$$(-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{sgn}(m(p)) \operatorname{sgn} \frac{\partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})}(p),$$

if and only if the orientation of Γ is the same as the one induced from $\mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$. According to Lemma 3.5, the local topological degree of $(F_k, \dots, F_n) : (\Gamma, (\bar{\lambda}, p)) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}, 0)$, where the orientation of Γ is the one induced by equations $F_1 = \ldots = F_{k-1} = 0$, equals

$$(-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{sgn} \frac{\partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})}(p).$$

According to [9, Lemma 3.2], $(\bar{\lambda}, p)$ is isolated in $((F_k, \dots, F_n)|\Gamma)^{-1}(0)$ if and only if $(\bar{\lambda}, p)$ is isolated in $(F_1, \dots, F_n)^{-1}(0)$, moreover

$$\deg_{(\bar{\lambda},p)}(F_k, \dots, F_n)|\Gamma = \deg_{(\bar{\lambda},p)}(F_1, \dots, F_n).$$

Hence the local topological degree of $F = (F_1, \dots, F_{k-1}, F_k, \dots, F_n) : (\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}, (\bar{\lambda}, p)) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ at $(\bar{\lambda}, p)$ equals

$$(-1)^{k-1} \operatorname{sgn} \frac{\partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})}(p).$$

$\square$

Put $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}]/I$. Let us assume that $\dim \mathcal{A} < \infty$, so that $V(I)$ is finite. For $h \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $T(h)$ the trace of the linear endomorphism $\mathcal{A} \ni a \mapsto h \cdot a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $T : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a linear functional.

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}]$ and $M = f^{-1}(0)$. Assume that $D = \{x \mid f(x) \geq 0\}$ is bounded and $\nabla f(x) \neq 0$ at each $x \in M$. Then D is a compact manifold with boundary $\partial D = M$, and $\dim M = n - k$.

Put $\delta = \partial(\Delta_k, \dots, \Delta_n)/\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1})$. With f and δ we associate quadratic forms Θ_δ, $\Theta_{f\cdot\delta} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Theta_\delta(a) = T(\delta \cdot a^2)$ and $\Theta_{f\cdot\delta}(a) = T(f \cdot \delta \cdot a^2)$. According to [1, 7], we have

$$\text{signature } \Theta_\delta = \sum \operatorname{sgn}(\delta(p)),$$

$$\text{signature } \Theta_{f\cdot\delta} = \sum \operatorname{sgn}(f(p)\delta(p)),$$

where $p \in V(I)$. Moreover, if the quadratic forms are non-degenerate then $\delta(p) \neq 0$ and $f(p) \neq 0$ at each $p \in V(I)$, so that $V(I) \cap M = \emptyset$. In that case vectors $\alpha_1(x), \dots, \alpha_k(x)$ are linearly independent at every $x \in M$, and then the restricted mapping $\alpha|M$ goes into $\widetilde{V}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $\widetilde{\alpha}|S^{k-1} \times M$ goes into $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 3.9 *If $n-k$ is even, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) : \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1} \longrightarrow M_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a polynomial mapping such that $\dim \mathcal{A} < \infty$, $I + \langle m \rangle = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}]$ and quadratic forms Θ_δ, $\Theta_{f\cdot\delta} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are non–degenerate then*

$$\Lambda(\alpha|M) = \frac{1}{2}\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}|S^{k-1} \times M) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2}(\text{signature } \Theta_\delta + \text{signature } \Theta_{f\cdot\delta}),$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}(\beta, x) = \beta_1\alpha_1(x) + \dots + \beta_k\alpha_k(x)$.

Proof. The product $S^{k-1} \times D$ is a compact n–manifold with boundary $\partial(S^{k-1} \times D) = S^{k-1} \times M$. The standard orientation of the boundary coincides with the standard orientation of the product $S^{k-1} \times M$ if and only if k is odd. Then

$$\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}|S^{k-1} \times M) = (-1)^{k-1} \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}, S^{k-1} \times D, 0).$$

Take $(\bar{\beta}, p) \in \widetilde{\alpha}^{-1}(0) \cap S^{k-1} \times D$. Then $\alpha_1(p), \ldots, \alpha_k(p)$ are linearly dependent. By Lemma 3.1, p belongs to a finite set $V(I)$. Since $I + \langle m \rangle = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k+1}]$, we have $m(p) \neq 0$ at each $p \in V(I)$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, $(\bar{\beta}, p)$ and $(-\bar{\beta}, p)$ are isolated in $\widetilde{\alpha}^{-1}(0) \cap S^{k-1} \times D$ and the first coordinate $\bar{\beta}_1 \neq 0$.

Because $n - k$ is even, then

$$\deg_{(\bar{\beta},p)} \widetilde{\alpha} = \deg(-id|S^{n-1}) \cdot \deg_{(-\bar{\beta},p)} \widetilde{\alpha} \cdot \deg(-id|S^{k-1}) = \deg_{(-\bar{\beta},p)} \widetilde{\alpha}.$$

Hence $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}, S^{k-1} \times D, 0) = 2 \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}, H_+ \times D, 0)$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}, H_+ \times D, 0) = \deg(F, \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times D, 0) = \sum \deg_{(\bar{\lambda},p)} F,$$

where $(\bar{\lambda}, p) \in F^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times D$.

The quadratic form Θ_δ is non-degenerate, hence $\delta(p) \neq 0$ at each $p \in V(I)$. By Lemma 3.8,

$$\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}, S^{k-1} \times D, 0) = 2(-1)^{k-1} \sum \operatorname{sgn} \delta(p),$$

where $p \in V(I) \cap D = V(I) \cap \{f > 0\}$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{gathered} \operatorname{signature} \Theta_\delta + \operatorname{signature} \Theta_{f \cdot \delta} \\ = \sum_{p \in V(I)} \operatorname{sgn} \delta(p) + \left(\sum_{p \in V(I) \cap \{f > 0\}} \operatorname{sgn} \delta(p) - \sum_{p \in V(I) \cap \{f < 0\}} \operatorname{sgn} \delta(p) \right) \\ = 2 \sum_{p \in V(I) \cap D} \operatorname{sgn} \delta(p). \end{gathered}$$

□

Example. Take

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2z+2 & y+2 \\ 2y+1 & 2y+1 \\ 2x+1 & y+2 \\ z+1 & 2y+1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ be a polynomial mapping such that α_j is the j–th column of A.

One may check that I is generated by $2y - z$, $2x - 2z - 1$, $z^2 + z$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]/I$ is a 2–dimensional algebra, where $e_1 = 1$, $e_2 = z$ is its basis.

In our case $m = y + 2$, and so $I + \langle m \rangle = \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$. One may check that $T(e_1) = 2$, $T(e_2) = -1$, and $\delta = -24 - \frac{75}{2}z$, $f \cdot \delta = -18 - \frac{45}{4}z$ in $\mathcal{A}$. The matrices of Θ_δ and $\Theta_{f\cdot\delta}$ are

$$\begin{bmatrix} -21/2 & -27/2 \\ -27/2 & 27/2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -99/4 & 27/4 \\ 27/4 & -27/4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence signature $\Theta_\delta = 0$, signature $\Theta_{f\cdot\delta} = -2$. Applying Theorem 3.9 we get that $\alpha|S^2 : S^2 \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and $\Lambda(\alpha|S^2) = -(0 - 2)/2 = 1$.

4 Intersection number

By $B^n(r)$ we denote the n–dimensional open ball with radius r centred at the origin, by $\bar{B}^n(r)$ its closure, and by $S^{n-1}(r)$ the $(n-1)$–dimensional sphere with radius r centred at the origin.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $H = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous. If $Z = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n |\ h_1(x) = \ldots = h_k(x) = 0\}$ is compact for some $k < n$, then the topological degree of $H/|H| : S^{n-1}(R) \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$ is equal to zero for any $R > 0$ with $Z \subset B^n(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $Z \subset B^n(R)$, so $Z \cap S^{n-1}(R) = \emptyset$ and $H(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in S^{n-1}(R)$. Let us consider $H/|H| : S^{n-1}(R) \longrightarrow S^{n-1}$. We have

$$(H/|H|)^{-1}(0, \ldots, 0, 1) \subset Z \cap S^{n-1}(R) = \emptyset.$$

So $(H/|H|)^{-1}(0, \ldots, 0, 1) = \emptyset$, and the degree of $H/|H|$ equals zero.

□

Let $H = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous, let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set such that $H^{-1}(0) \cap U$ is compact, so that the topological degree $\deg(H, U, 0)$ is defined. Suppose that $h_1(x) = x_1 g(x)$, where $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in U$. By U' denote the set $\{x' = (x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid (0, y') \in U\}$. Of course $H^{-1}(0) \cap U \subset \{0\} \times U'$. Let us define the mapping $H' : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by $H'(x') = (h_2(0, x'), \ldots, h_n(0, x'))$. Then $(H')^{-1}(0) \cap U'$ is compact and $\deg(H', U', 0)$ is well defined. We have

Lemma 4.2 $\deg(H, U, 0) = \deg(H', U', 0)$.

$\square$

Let us assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $M = f^{-1}(0)$ is compact and $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$ at each $p \in M$, so that M is an m-dimensional manifold. We shall say that vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in T_pM$ are well oriented if vectors $\nabla f(p), v_1, \ldots, v_m$ are well oriented in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. In this way M is an oriented manifold.

Let $G = (g_1, \ldots, g_{2m}) : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ be smooth. Put $g = G|M$ and define $H : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+2m}$ by

$$H(x, y) = (f(x), f(y), g_1(x) - g_1(y), \ldots, g_{2m}(x) - g_{2m}(y)).$$

According to [5, Lemma 18, Proposition 20] we have

Proposition 4.3 *The mapping $g : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ is an immersion if and only if the mapping $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \ni x \mapsto (f(x), g_1(x), \ldots, g_{2m}(x))$ has rank $m+1$ at each $p \in M$.*

If that is the case then there exists a compact $2(m+1)$-dimensional manifold with boundary $N \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ such that

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \mid H(x, y) = 0, x \neq y\} \subset N \setminus \partial N.$$

If m is even, then for any such N the intersection number $I(g)$ equals $\deg(H, N, 0)/2 = \deg(H|\partial N)/2$.

$\square$

From now on we assume that $g = G|M$ is an immersion. Then

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x) & \ldots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m+1}}(x) \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1}(x) & \ldots & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_{m+1}}(x) \\ & \ldots & \\ \frac{\partial g_{2m}}{\partial x_1}(x) & \ldots & \frac{\partial g_{2m}}{\partial x_{m+1}}(x) \end{bmatrix} = m + 1,$$

for $x \in M$. Denote by $\alpha_1(x), \ldots, \alpha_{m+1}(x)$ the columns of the matrix above. This way with the immersion g we can associate $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m+1}) : M \longrightarrow \widetilde{V}_{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^{2m+1})$, and $\widetilde{\alpha} = \beta_1\alpha_1(x) + \ldots + \beta_{m+1}\alpha_{m+1}(x) : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ such that $\widetilde{\alpha}|S^m \times M$ goes into $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \setminus \{0\}$. By Theorem 2.7, the degree $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}|S^m \times M)$ is well defined and even.

Let us define $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m+2}$ by

$$\phi(\beta, \beta_{m+2}; x) = (x + \beta, x + \beta_{m+2}\nabla f(x)),$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m+1})$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1})$.

Lemma 4.4 *For any $r > 0$ small enough, $\phi : B^{m+2}(r) \times M \to \mathbb{R}^{2m+2}$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism onto its image.*

Proof. Take a well oriented basis $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ of T_xM, so that $\nabla f(x), v_1, \ldots, v_m$ are well oriented in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_{m+2}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$. Take $q = (\beta, \beta_{m+2}; x) \in B^{m+2}(r) \times M$. Then

$$(e_1, 0), \ldots, (e_{m+2}, 0), (0, v_1), \ldots, (0, v_m)$$

is a well oriented basis in $T_q(B^{m+2}(r) \times M)$. If $\beta_{m+2} = 0$ then

$$\det\Big([D\phi((\beta, 0; x))]\, [(e_1, 0), \ldots, (e_{m+2}, 0), (0, v_1), \ldots, (0, v_m)] \Big)$$

$$= \det \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & 0 & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x) & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m+1}}(x) & 0 & \ldots & 1 \end{array} \right] [(e_1, 0), \ldots, (e_{m+2}, 0), (0, v_1), \ldots, (0, v_m)]$$

$$= \det \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & 0 & & * & \\ 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & \nabla f(x) & v_1 & \ldots & v_m \end{array} \right] = \det\,[\nabla f(x), v_1, \ldots, v_m] > 0.$$

Since $\phi(0; x) = (x, x)$ and M is compact, if $r > 0$ is small enough then $\phi : B^{m+2}(r) \times M \to \mathbb{R}^{2m+2}$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism onto its image. □

Lemma 4.5 *There exist smooth functions $u_1, \ldots, u_{m+1} : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x + y) = f(x) + \sum_1^{m+1} y_i u_i(x, y)$, and $u_i(x, 0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)$.*

□

Theorem 4.6 *If m is even and $g : M \to \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ is an immersion then $I(g) = -\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}|S^m \times M)/2 = -\Lambda(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in M\}$ denote the diagonal in $M \times M$. Note that

$$H^{-1}(0) = \Delta \cup \{(x, y) \in M \times M,\ g(x) = g(y),\ x \neq y\}.$$

By Proposition 4.3, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|x-y| > \varepsilon$ for $(x, y) \in H^{-1}(0)\setminus\Delta$.

Take $r > 0$ such that $\phi : B^{m+2}(2r) \times M \to \mathbb{R}^{2m+2}$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism onto its image. Put $K = \phi(\bar{B}^{m+2}(r) \times M)$. Then K is a closed tubular neighbourhood of $\phi(\{0\} \times M) = \Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2m+2}$, and so K is a $(2m+2)$–dimensional compact manifold with boundary $\partial K = \phi(S^{m+1}(r) \times M)$. Moreover we can assume that

$$|(x+\beta) - (x + \beta_{m+2}\nabla f(x))| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } (\beta, \beta_{m+2}; x) \in \bar{B}^{m+2}(r) \times M.$$

In particular $\partial K \cap H^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ and $K \cap H^{-1}(0) = \Delta$. For $R > 0$ big enough, $N = \bar{B}^{2m+2}(R) \setminus \phi(B^{m+2}(r) \times M)$ is a compact manifold with boundary $S^{2m+1}(R) \cup \partial K$, where the orientation of ∂K is opposite to the one induced from K. We may also assume that N contains $H^{-1}(0) \setminus \Delta$ in its interior. According to Proposition 4.3,

$$2I(g) = \deg(H, N, 0) = \deg(H|S^{2m+1}(R)) - \deg(H|\partial K).$$

The hypersurface $M = f^{-1}(0)$ is compact, so $\{f(x) = f(y) = 0\} = M \times M \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is compact too. According to Lemma 4.1, $\deg(H|S^{2m+1}(R)) = 0$. So

$$2I(g) = -\deg(H|\partial K) = -\deg(H\circ\phi|S^{m+1}(r)\times M) = -\deg(H\circ\phi, B^{m+2}(r)\times M, 0).$$

Of course it holds true for any radius smaller than r.

According to Lemma 4.5, for $(\beta, \beta_{m+2}; x) \in B^{m+2}(r) \times M$ the second coordinate of $H \circ \phi$ equals

$$f(x + \beta_{m+2}\nabla f(x)) = f(x) + \beta_{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) u_i(\beta, \beta_{m+2}; x)$$

$$= \beta_{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) u_i(\beta, \beta_{m+2}; x),$$

where $u_i(0; x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)$. For r small enough $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) u_i(\beta, \beta_{m+2}; x) > 0$. After permuting coordinates, by Lemma 4.2, we get

$$\deg(H \circ \phi, B^{m+2}(r) \times M, 0)$$

$$= \deg\left((f(x+\beta), g_1(x+\beta) - g_1(x), \ldots, g_{2m}(x+\beta) - g_{2m}(x)), B^{m+1}(r) \times M, 0\right).$$

By Lemma 4.5, for $(\beta, x) \in B^{m+1}(r) \times M$ we have

$$(f(x+\beta), g_1(x+\beta) - g_1(x), \ldots, g_{2m}(x+\beta) - g_{2m}(x))$$

$$= \left(\sum_1^{m+1} \beta_i u_i(\beta, x), \sum_1^{m+1} \beta_i w_i(\beta, x) \right) = \sum_1^{m+1} \beta_i \left(u_i(\beta, x), w_i(\beta, x) \right),$$

where $w_i(0,x) = (w_i^1(0,x), \dots, w_i^{2m}(0,x)) = (\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_i}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial g_{2m}}{\partial x_i}(x))$. Because g is an immersion, by Proposition 4.3 there is small r such that

$$\operatorname{rank} \begin{bmatrix} u_1(\beta,x) & \dots & u_{m+1}(\beta,x) \\ w_1^1(\beta,x) & \dots & w_{m+1}^1(\beta,x) \\ & \dots & \\ w_1^{2m}(\beta,x) & \dots & w_{m+1}^{2m}(\beta,x) \end{bmatrix} = m+1,$$

for $(\beta, x) \in \bar{B}^{m+1}(r) \times M$. Hence the columns $\alpha_1(\beta,x), \dots, \alpha_{m+1}(\beta,x)$ of the matrix above are linearly independent. Let $h_t : \bar{B}^{m+1}(r) \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$, $0 \le t \le 1$, be a homotopy given by

$$h_t(\beta,x) = \beta_1 \alpha_1(t\beta,x) + \ldots + \beta_{m+1}\alpha_{m+1}(t\beta,x),$$

so that $h_t(\beta,x)$ is a linear combination of linearly independent vectors. Then each $h_t^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \times M$.

According to the Excision Theorem we have

$$\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}|S^m \times M) = \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}, \bar{B}^{m+1}(1) \times M, 0) = \deg(\widetilde{\alpha}, \bar{B}^{m+1}(r) \times M, 0).$$

Of course $\widetilde{\alpha} = h_0$, so $\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}|S^m \times M) = \deg(h_0, \bar{B}^{m+1}(r) \times M, 0) = \deg(h_1, \bar{B}^{m+1}(r) \times M, 0)$. By the previous arguments, $\deg(h_1, \bar{B}^{m+1}(r) \times M, 0) = -2I(g)$. To sum up we get that $2I(g) = -\deg(\widetilde{\alpha}|S^m \times M) = -2\Lambda(\alpha)$.

□

Example. Let $g = (x_3^3 + x_2 - x_1 - 3x_3, x_2^3 + 2x_1 - x_2 + x_3, x_1x_2 + 2x_1, x_1x_3 - x_2) : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$. Using SINGULAR [3] and results of Theorems 3.9 and 4.6 one may check that $I(g|S^2(10)) = 5$.

References

[1] Becker E., Wörmann T.: On the trace formula for quadratic forms and some applications, Contemporary Mathematics **155**, 271-291, (1994)

[2] Golubitsky M., Guillemin V.: Stable mappings and their singularities, Springer-Verlag New York, (1973)

[3] Greuel G.-M., Pfister G., Schönemann H.: SINGULAR 3.0.2. A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations

[4] Hatcher A.: Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, (2002)

[5] Karolkiewicz I., Nowel A., Szafraniec Z.: An algebraic formula for the intersection number of a polynomial immersion, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (3), 269-280, (2010)

[6] Krzyżanowska I.: The intersection number of real polynomial mappings, Topology and its Applications **158**, 379-386, (2011)

[7] Pedersen P., Roy M.-F., Szpirglas A.: Counting real zeros in the multivariate case, Computational Algebraic Geometry, Progr. in Math. **109**, 203-224, Birkhäuser, (1993)

[8] Smale S.: The classification of immersions of spheres in Euclidean spaces, Annals of mathematics **69** (2), 327-344, (1959)

[9] Szafraniec Z.: Topological degree and quadratic forms, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **141**, 299-314, (1999)

[10] Whitney H.: The self-intersections of a smooth n-manifold in $2n$-space, Annals of Mathematics **45** (2), 220-246 (1944)